Previous references.

✓ 9

1903.-04-

(Police)

File No. 3

Serial Nos. 1 - 2

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 — 2

Part B. for Feb 04

SUBJECT.

Increment to Inspector Reuben who has been appointed in place of Mr J. Ball -

---

Table of contents

✓ Sl No 1 (J 1075) No 4088 dy 16-9-04 From the I G of Police.
248

Requesting to be informed if Inspector Reuben will be given the increments with retrospective effect on the event of Mr Ball's confirmation as Assistant -

✓ Sl No 2. No 1840 dy 8-3-04 To the I G of Police

Inviting his attention to clauses (b) & (c) of article 100 of the Mysore Service Regulations, regulating the pay of officers who act in an office of the pay is pensionable.

G. R. S. 58

~~Office of the Dewan of Mysore.~~ Govt. Gen. & Rev. Secretariat

File No. (if any)

Directions.

No., date and purport of receipts to be entered in red, and office note in black ink in order of dates.—To be written only on one side, and inside the line of margin.

Office Note.

Branch Revenue

Subject

Letter No 1234 d/ 28/ 8/03, from the ~~Comptr.~~ I.G. of Police.

Enquiring if Mr. Ball will be confirmed as Amildar.

By G.O. No R.4370 d/ 4/4/02, Mr. J. Ball, Police Supdt. was appointed to act as Asst. Cmr. pro tem of the Bodhallapur Tk. vice Mr. N. Gopalaiengar, on other duty.

The I.G. of Police states that Insp. Lennon Renton, appointed in place of Mr. Ball, as Insp. in K.G. Fields, on pay Rs 125–175 has completed one year's service & earned his annual increment of Rs 10, but that the increment cannot take effect till Mr. Renton is confirmed in his appt., which depends on Mr. Ball's confirmation as Amildar. The I.G. adds that the withholding of this increment would be a hardship & requests to be informed if Mr. Ball is likely to be confirmed in his appt. as Amildar.

~~Mr. Ball~~

Mr. Ball stands every chance of being confirmed as Asst. But Govt may not like to say it before the event. The question for consideration appears therefore to resolve itself to whether the interests of the acting Official are materially affected by the non-confirmation of Mr. Ball.

If, as it has to be presumed, Mr Reuben has been appointed Subprotem, it is not clear why the increment (in full) earned by him cannot take effect till he is confirmed, as stated by the Insp. Genl of Police.

Rule (b) & Rule (c) of Art. 100 of the M.S. Regns provide contrariwise - If, under these Rules, the Subprotem incumbent gets his annual increments as well, no particular inconvenience can be complained of.

The Insp. Genl's letter is not clear on the following points

May a reply be sent to the effect that when Mr Ball is confirmed intimation will be sent duly & that in the meanwhile it is not clear why Mr Reuben cannot be given his increment if due.

Submitted for orders.

Mr appointed by me before the R-ties appointed in the Bill.

Clee 20/11.

What is Mr Reuben's appointment? Can this information be had?

20/11/03

Submitted:- Page 4 of the herewith Subd Gradn List of the Police Deptt shows that on 31st Decr 1901, Simon Reuben was a Police Inspector on Rs [illegible] at Head-quarters, Tuticorin.

Clee 3/12.

Resubmitted in Court:- Mr Ball having been duly confirmed in his appt as Asst, a draft-docket inviting attention of the I.G. of Police to the Govt Notifn of the Confirmn is submitted for orders.

Clee 16/1/04.

The notification confirming Mr. Ball ~~is modify~~ has been modified by another notification in which the name of Mr. Ball does not appear. So it is presumed ~~that~~ Mr. Ball has not been confirmed The Inspector General asks whether ~~Mr~~ Inspector Reuben who is acting in the place of Mr. Ball, will be given his increment with retrospective effect in the event of Mr. Ball's confirmation as Auditor.

The Inspector General's attention may be drawn to Clause (b) (ii) of article 100 of the Ulyssa Service Regulations, regulating the pay of the officers acting in the appointment of the which the pay is progressive. Submitted with a draft docket

SM 17/2/21

Submitted to the Board

~~for~~ orders.

As Mr. Ball is sub pro tem in the Auditors and will probably be confirmed there seems to be no objection to allowing his

succession in the lower depth to drive
the increments calculated from the date
to be succeeded by the Bank

29/2/04.

6245
2.3.04.

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPTS.

Dated

Despatched 16 January 1911

Received

General No. 4088

No. 2487

Enclosure No. one

Requesting to be informed if Mr. Rubben will be given his increment with retrospective effect on the event of Mr. Bacle's confirmation as Asst. Commr.

LL No 1

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 4088 Dated Bangalore, 9th: ~~December~~ January 1904,

Enc. 2487 10

From Rao Bahadur C.Madiah Esquire,

~~THE~~ INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To H.V.Nanjaundayya Esquire, M.A: M.L,

~~THE~~ SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

SIR,

In continuation of this Office letter No: 1234/82 of the 29th:August 1903, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No: 204 of the 11th: December 1903, from the Superintendent of Police of the Kolar Gold Fields received through the Deputy Commissioner, requesting to be informed if Inspector Reuben will be given his increment with retrospective effect on the event of Mr: Ball's confirmation as Amildar, and to request that you will beso good as to obtain the early orders of Government on the reference quoted above.

Rev-File 25 1902-3

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Inspector General of Police.

Encl to S.C.No.

From

P.B.Thomas Esquire,

District Superintendent of Police

Kolar Gold Fields.

To

C.Madiah, Esquire, Rao Bahadur,

Inspector General of Police

in Mysore.

Through the Deputy Commissioner.

Dated IIth December I903

15

No:204

Sir,

With reference to your letter No:I233/60dated 28/29.8.03.I have the honor to enquire whether Mr:Reuben will be granted his increment of Rs:IO with retrospective effect on the event of Mr:Balls confirmation as Amildar .

It is very hard on Mr:Reuben to be deprived of an increment which he was promised on the completion of a years service, but if the increment is subsequently granted with retrospective effect (from August I903)he will not be such a loser.

I have Etc,

Signed/ P.B.Thomas,

Dt: Supt:of Police

Kolar Gold Fields.

)----------

No:264,dated 4-I-04

Forwarded,

/Signed/ F.C.Carr

Deputy Commissioner , Kolar District.

(Copy)

Inspector General of Police.

Kau

M-202 *Office Draft.* (Letter.)

Mysore Government,
General & Revenue Secretariat,
Jud. Branch.

2

To

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

Date of passing the draft ~~Feb~~ 1904.
Date of despatch 8/3/04

Regd. No. 1860
File No. & date (if any) R. 2. 58 02-03

No. of Encls.

His letter No. 4088/248 dated the
9th January 1904, requesting to
~~Sir,~~ be informed if Inspector Reuben
who has been appointed in place
of Mr. J. Ball will be given his
increment with retrospective
effect in the event of Mr. Ball's
Confirmation as Amildar.

—

In reply, I am to invite his attention to
clauses (b) and (c) of article

100 of the Mysore Service Regulations regulating the pay of officers who act in an office of which the pay is progressive.

B-O.

7/3/24

Offg Secretary

5.3.24

SN
13/3/24